

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,045	06/12/2007	Jung-Hoon Sohn	2472.0010000/EKS/RAS	2495
26111 STERNE KES	7590 08/07/200 SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &		EXA	MINER
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		STEELE, AMBER D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586.045 SOHN ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner AMBER D. STEELE 1639 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims

4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-7</u>	<u>'1</u> is/are pending ir	the application.		
	4a) Of the abo	ove claim(s)	is/are withdrawn	from cons	sideration.
5)	Claim(s)	_ is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			

7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 18-71 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected	d to by the Examiner.
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request the	at any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

5(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

/	-/
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited	(P

1) 🔼	Notice of References Cited (P10-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) 🖂	Information Righteening Statement(s) /FTM/SE/mg)

Information Disclosure Statement	(s) (PTO/SE/DE)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	ĺ.

 Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-17 were originally filed on July 14, 2006.

The preliminary amendment to the claims received on July 14, 2006 canceled claims 1-17 and added new claims 18-71.

Claims 18-71 are currently pending and under consideration.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 18-38, drawn to a method of identifying a translational fusion partner for secretion of a target protein.

Group II, claim(s) 39-58, drawn to a method of making a library.

Group III, claim(s) 59, drawn to a TFP library.

Group IV, claim(s) 60-61, drawn to a TFP. Please note: Group IV actually contains several subgroups which are distinct inventions (for example: Group IVA is drawn to a TFP of SEQ ID NO: 1, Group IVB is drawn to a TFP of SEQ ID NO: 3, etc.).

Group V, claim(s) 62-68, drawn to a polynucleotide. Please note: Group V actually contains several subgroups which are distinct inventions (for example: Group VA is drawn to a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 2, Group VB is drawn to a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 4, etc.).

Group VI, claim(s) 69-71, drawn to a method of making a target protein.

Art Unit: 1639

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature is a TFP and the polynucleotide that encodes a TFP which is known in the prior art.

Boquet et al. (U.S. Patent 5,362644 issued November 8, 1994) teach polynulceotides encoding fusion proteins comprising a protein fused to a reporter (please refer to the entire specification). In addition, Mascarenhas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,563,046) teach polynucleotides encoding fusion proteins comprising a protein fused to a portion of another protein to allow for secretion of the fusion protein.

4. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

For Group I:

- a. a single, specific species of target protein (see claims 20-22),
- b. a single, specific species of source and type of polynucleotide fragments (see claims 23-27),
 - c. a single, specific species of cell (see claims 28, 29, and 38),
 - d. a single, specific species of reporter protein (see claims 30-32 and 38), and
 - e. a single, specific species of automatic screening vector (see claims 33-37).

For Group II:

- a. a single, specific species of target protein (see claims 41-43),
- b. a single, specific species of source and type of polynucleotide fragments (see claims 44-48).
 - c. a single, specific species of cell (see claims 49-50).

Art Unit: 1639

d. a single, specific species of reporter protein (see claims 51-53), and

e. a single, specific species of automatic screening vector (see claims 54-58).

5. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify

the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive

unless accompanied by an election.

6. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of

claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP

§ 809.02(a).

7. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

see the claims indicated above with each species.

8. The following claim(s) are generic: for Group I, claim 18 is generic and for Group II,

claim 39 is generic.

 The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species are structurally and functionally

different

Application/Control Number: 10/586,045

Art Unit: 1639

10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed

Page 5

(37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election

shall be treated as an election without traverse.

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Potential Rejoinder

13. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where

applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found

allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of

the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected

process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process

invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1639

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Future Communications

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMBER D. STEELE whose telephone number is (571)272-5538. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low can be reached on 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Amber D. Steele/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639

August 4, 2009